

REMARKS

Claim Amendments

Claims 1, 12, and 45 have been amended.

Objections

Claims 1, 10-12, 15-16, 19, 25, and 45 stand rejected due to some informalities. Claims 1, 12, and 45 have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions. Additionally, the term "outlet bore" has been amended to "nozzle bore" in claims 1, 12, and 45 to ensure uniformity, thus making unnecessary the Examiners' suggested amendments for claims 10, 11, 15, 16, 25, and 19.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-25 and 45 stand rejected due to obviousness-type double patenting over claims of U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0231553, U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0238587, U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0244783, and U.S. Patent 7,331,654. Terminal disclaimers have been provided to overcome these rejections.

Conclusion

A favorable decision is solicited. If a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

/Joseph A. Yosick/

Joseph A. Yosick., Reg. No. 51,062
Videojet Technologies Inc.
1500 N. Mittel Blvd.
Wood Dale, IL 60191
(630) 694-2592 (telephone)
(630) 616-2490 (facsimile)

Date: January 14, 2009